

PRIMITIVE IDEALS, NON-RESTRICTED REPRESENTATIONS AND FINITE W -ALGEBRAS

ALEXANDER PREMET

ABSTRACT. Let G be a simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. Let (e, h, f) be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the G -invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $(e, f) = 1$. Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be such that $\chi(x) = (e, x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and let H_χ denote the enveloping algebra of the Slodowy slice $e + \text{Ker ad } f$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a primitive ideal of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ whose associated variety is the closure of the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_\chi$. We prove in this note that if $\mathcal{I}$ has rational infinitesimal character, then there is a finite dimensional irreducible H_χ -module V such that $\mathcal{I} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$, where Q_χ is the generalised Gelfand–Graev $\mathfrak{g}$ -module associated with the triple (e, h, f) . In conjunction with well-known results of Barbasch and Vogan this implies that all finite W -algebras possess finite dimensional irreducible representations.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let G be a simple, simply connected algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ and let (e, h, f) be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the G -invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ with $(e, f) = 1$ and define $\chi = \chi_e \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by setting $\chi(x) = (e, x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ the coadjoint G -orbit of χ .

Let $\mathcal{S}_e = e + \text{Ker ad } f$ be the Slodowy slice at e through the adjoint orbit of e and let H_χ be the enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{S}_e$; see [18, 11, 6]. Recall that $H_\chi = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Q_\chi)^{\text{op}}$ where Q_χ is the generalised Gelfand–Graev module for $U(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with the triple (e, h, f) . The $\mathfrak{g}$ -module Q_χ is induced from a one-dimensional module $\mathbb{C}_\chi$ over of a nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{m} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}_\chi$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is $(\text{ad } h)$ -stable, all weights of $\text{ad } h$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ are negative, and χ vanishes on $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$. The action of $\mathfrak{m}$ on $\mathbb{C}_\chi = \mathbb{C}1_\chi$ is given by $x(1_\chi) = \chi(x)1_\chi$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}$; see [18, 11, 6, 20] for more detail.

Denote by $\mathfrak{z}_\chi$ the stabiliser of χ in $\mathfrak{g}$ (it coincides with the centraliser $\mathfrak{g}_e$ of e in $\mathfrak{g}$). By the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory, all weights of $\text{ad } h$ on $\mathfrak{z}_\chi$ are nonnegative integers. Let $x_1, \dots, x_r$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{z}_\chi$ such that $[h, x_i] = n_i x_i$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By [18], to each basis vector x_i one can attach an element $\Theta_{x_i} \in H_\chi$ in such a way that the monomials $\Theta_{x_1}^{i_1} \Theta_{x_2}^{i_2} \dots \Theta_{x_r}^{i_r}$ with $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ form a basis of H_χ over $\mathbb{C}$. The monomial $\Theta_{x_1}^{a_1} \Theta_{x_2}^{a_2} \dots \Theta_{x_r}^{a_r}$ is said to have *Kazhdan degree* $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i(n_i + 2)$. For $k \geq 0$, we denote by H_χ^k the $\mathbb{C}$ -span of all monomials as above of Kazhdan degree $\leq k$. By [18, 4.6], we have $H_\chi^i \cdot H_\chi^j \subseteq H_\chi^{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus $\{H_\chi^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is an increasing filtration of the algebra H_χ . The corresponding graded algebra $\text{gr } H_\chi$ is a polynomial algebra in $\text{gr } \Theta_{x_1}, \text{gr } \Theta_{x_2}, \dots, \text{gr } \Theta_{x_r}$, and it identifies naturally with the coordinate algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_e]$ endowed with its Slodowy grading. The Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_e] \cong \text{gr } H_\chi$ induced by multiplication in H_χ is determined by Gan–Ginzburg in [11].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000 revision)). Primary 17B35. Secondary 17B63, 17B81.
Key words and phrases: primitive ideal, modular representation, finite W -algebra.

According to recent results of D’Andrea–De Concini–De Sole–Heluany–Kac [7] and De Sole–Kac [8], the algebra H_χ is isomorphic to the Zhu algebra of the vertex W -algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ and e . The latter algebra is, in turn, isomorphic to the finite W -algebra $W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ obtained from the Poisson algebra $\text{gr } H_\chi$ by BRST quantisation; see [8] for detail. Thus, $H_\chi \cong W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ as predicted in [18, 1.10].

1.2. Let $\mathcal{C}_\chi$ denote the category of all $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules on which $x - \chi(x)$ acts locally nilpotently for every $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Given a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module M we set

$$\text{Wh}(M) := \{m \in M \mid x.m = \chi(x)m \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

The algebra H_χ acts on $\text{Wh}(M)$ via a canonical isomorphism $H_\chi \cong (U(\mathfrak{g})/N_\chi)^{\text{ad } \mathfrak{m}}$ where N_χ denotes the left ideal of the universal enveloping $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by all $x - \chi(x)$ with $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. By Skryabin’s theorem [21], the functors $V \rightsquigarrow Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V$ and $M \rightsquigarrow \text{Wh}(M)$ are mutually inverse equivalences between the category of all H_χ -modules and the category $\mathcal{C}_\chi$; see also [11, Theorem 6.1]. Skryabin’s equivalence implies that for any irreducible H_χ -module V the annihilator $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$ is a primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. By the Irreducibility Theorem, the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I)$ of any primitive ideal I of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the closure of a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^*$; see [12] and references therein.

Given a finitely generated $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M we denote by $\text{Dim}(M)$ the Gelfand–Kirillov dimension of M . By [20, Theorem 3.1], for any irreducible H_χ -module V the associated variety of $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$ contains $\mathcal{O}_\chi$, and if $\dim V < \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{VA}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)) = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Dim}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \overline{\mathcal{O}_\chi}.$$

In particular, if the H_χ -module V is finite dimensional, then the simple $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V$ is holonomic. It was conjectured in [20, 3.4] that for any primitive ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathcal{VA}(\mathcal{J}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\chi}$ there exists a finite dimensional irreducible H_χ -module V such that $\mathcal{J} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$. In [20, 5.6], this conjecture was proved under the assumption that e belongs to the minimal nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and Φ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ be a basis of simple roots in Φ with the elements in Π numbered as in [5], and Φ^+ the positive system of Φ relative to Π . Let $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$ and let W be the Weyl group of Φ . Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we let $L(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with highest weight λ . As usual, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the centre of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Standard properties of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism $\varphi: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h})$ ensure that the equality $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} L(\lambda') = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} L(\lambda)$ holds if and only if $\lambda' + \rho = w(\lambda + \rho)$ for some $w \in W$.

By Duflo’s theorem [9, Theorem 1], for any primitive ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ there exists an irreducible highest weight module $L(\mu)$ such that $\mathcal{J} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} L(\mu)$. Generically, the number of such $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ equals the order of W , but there are instances when μ is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{J}$; this happens, for example, when $\mathcal{J}$ has finite codimension in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ is a maximal ideal of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $z(\mu) = 0$ for all $z \in \varphi(Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{J})$. We say that $\mathcal{J}$ has *rational infinitesimal character* if $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ (our

earlier remarks show that this is independent of the choice of μ). Our main goal in this note is to prove the following¹:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\mathcal{I}$ is a primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with rational infinitesimal character and with $\mathcal{VA}(\mathcal{I}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$, then $\mathcal{I} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$ for some finite dimensional irreducible H_χ -module V .*

1.3. To prove Theorem 1.1 we work with a suitable localisation $A = S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ of the ring of integers and consider natural A -forms $\mathfrak{g}_A$ and $L_A(\mu)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $L(\mu)$, respectively. We have to choose A very carefully; see Sect. 2. After making our selection we pick $(p) \in \text{Spec } A$ and consider the highest weight module $L_p(\mu) := L_A(\mu) \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$ over the restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k} = \mathfrak{g}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$, where $\mathbb{k}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Let $G_\mathbb{k}$ be the simply connected algebraic $\mathbb{k}$ -group with $\text{Lie}(G_\mathbb{k}) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$. If $p \gg 0$, then $(\cdot, \cdot)$ induces a nondegenerate $G_\mathbb{k}$ -invariant symmetric form on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ and there is a natural bijection between the nilpotent G -orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ and the nilpotent $G_\mathbb{k}$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$. Let $Z_p = \langle x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k} \rangle$, the p -centre of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$. Given $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}^*$ we denote by $\mathbb{k}_\eta = \mathbb{k}1_\eta$ the 1-dimensional Z_p -module such that $(x^p - x^{[p]})(1_\eta) = \eta(x)^p 1_\eta$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$, and we set $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) := U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) \otimes_{Z_p} \mathbb{k}_\eta$ and $L_p^\eta(\mu) := L_p(\mu) \otimes_{Z_p} \mathbb{k}_\eta$. The associative algebra $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ is often referred to as the *reduced enveloping algebra* of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ corresponding to η .

We show in (3.3) that for all $p \gg 0$ the $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ -module $L_p^\eta(\mu)$ has dimension $\leq Dp^{d(e)}$, where $D = D(\mu)$ is independent of p and $d(e) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}(\chi)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{k}$ be the nilpotent $G_\mathbb{k}$ -orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ corresponding to the G -orbit containing e . We prove in (3.5) that there is a linear function $\bar{\chi} = (\bar{e}, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}$ with $\bar{e} \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{k}$ such that the $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ -module $L_p^{\bar{\chi}}(\mu)$ is nonzero. Here (and only here) we use our assumption that the infinitesimal character of $\mathcal{I}$ is rational. Combining the Kac–Weisfeiler conjecture proved in [17] with the above results, we show in (3.6) that the algebra $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$ has a simple module of dimension $kp^{d(e)}$ for some $k \leq D = D(\mu)$. On the other hand, it is established in [18] that $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Mat}_{p^{d(e)}}(H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]})$, where $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ is a ‘restricted’ modular version of the finite W -algebra $H_\chi \cong W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$. We thus deduce that for $p \gg 0$ the algebra $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ has a simple module of dimension $k \leq D = D(\mu)$.

The algebra H_χ relates to $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ in the same way as $U(\mathfrak{g})$ relates to the restricted enveloping algebra $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$; in fact, when $\chi = 0$ we have that $H_\chi = U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H_\chi^{[p]} = U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{k})$. We use a PBW basis of H_χ similar to the one described in (1.1) to find a suitable A -form $H_{\chi, A}$ in H_χ and show in (4.5) that $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ is a homomorphic image of the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra $H_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} := H_{\chi, A} \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. Next we introduce certain affine varieties $\mathcal{Y}_k$ of matrix representations of H_χ and use reduction modulo p together with some results mentioned above to prove that $\mathcal{Y}_l(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$ for some $l \leq D$; see (4.6). The definition of $\mathcal{Y}_l$ then implies that H_χ possesses an irreducible finite dimensional module V with the property that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$. Since the primitive ideals $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$ and $\mathcal{I}$ have the same associated variety, a well-known result of Borho–Kraft [3] yields $\mathcal{I} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$. Theorem 1.1 follows.

Corollary 1.1. *All finite W -algebras $W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ possess finite dimensional irreducible representations.*

¹Recently I proved Theorem 1.1 for all primitive ideals $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{VA}(\mathcal{I}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$ thereby confirming [20, Conjecture 3.2] in full generality. This result will appear elsewhere.

To deduce this corollary from Theorem 1.1 we rely in a crucial way on well-known results of Barbasch–Vogan [1, 2]. It would be interesting to find an alternative proof.

Corollary 1.2. *If $p \gg 0$, then for every linear function η on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ the reduced enveloping algebra $U_{\eta}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ has a simple module of dimension $lp^{(\dim G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot \eta)/2}$ where $l < p$.*

For p large, Corollary 1.2 provides a good upper bound for the minimal dimension of irreducible $U_{\eta}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -modules and is the first result of its kind in the literature. It can be regarded as a first step towards verifying one of the main conjectures in the field which states, under mild assumptions on p , that the minimal dimension of irreducible $U_{\eta}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -modules always equals $p^{(\dim G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot \eta)/2}$.

The general case of Corollary 1.2 reduces quickly to the case where $\eta = (\bar{e}, \cdot)$ for some nilpotent element $\bar{e} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$; see [18, 2.5] for more detail. In this special case the corollary follows from part (2) of the proof of Corollary 4.1 and the fact that $L_p^{\xi}(\mu) \neq 0$ for some $\xi \in G_{\mathbb{k}} \cdot \eta$; see Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.1. We stress that this argument also relies on the main results of [1, 2]. It would be very interesting to find a more direct argument.

2. Admissible rings for highest weight modules

2.1. In what follows $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the set of all nonnegative integers. Given a commutative Noetherian ring R we denote by $\dim R$ the Krull dimension of R . Given a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of regular functions on an algebraic variety X we let $V(\mathcal{C})$ stand for the set of all common zeros of $\mathcal{C}$ in X . If $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra over an integral domain A , which is free as an A -module, then we write $U_n(\mathcal{L})$ for the n -th component of the canonical filtration of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$. By the PBW theorem, the corresponding graded A -algebra $\text{gr } U(\mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $S(\mathcal{L})$ of the free A -module $\mathcal{L}$. In particular, all modules $U_n(\mathcal{L})/U_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}) \cong S^n(\mathcal{L})$ are free over A .

Denote by $\mathcal{O}(x)$ the adjoint orbit $(\text{Ad } G) \cdot x$ of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and put $d(x) := \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}(x)$. We shall often identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$ via the Killing isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ which takes $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ to the linear function $(x, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a multiple of the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ for which $(e, f) = 1$.

Fix once and for all a primitive ideal $\mathcal{J} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} L(\mu)$ such that $\mu(h_{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\mathcal{VA}(\mathcal{J}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\chi}$. Write $\Phi^+ = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$, where N is the number of positive roots, put $\Phi^- := -\Phi^+$, and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ be the corresponding triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. Choose a Chevalley basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Phi\} \cup \{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$$

in $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $\mathcal{B}^{\pm} := \{e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \pm \Phi^+\}$. Given a (unitary) subring A of $\mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_A$ and $\mathfrak{n}_A^{\pm}$ the A -spans of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}$, respectively. Denote by $U(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ and $U(\mathfrak{n}_A^{\pm})$ the A -lattices in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{n}^{\pm})$ generated as A -algebras by $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}$, respectively.

We call a subring A *admissible* if $A = S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ where S is a finitely generated multiplicative subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ containing denominators of all $\mu(h_{\alpha})$ with $\alpha \in \Pi$. Note that A is a Noetherian principal ideal domain, hence a Dedekind ring. Denote by $\pi(A)$ the set of all primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $pA \neq A$. As S is finitely generated, $\pi(A)$ contains almost all primes in $\mathbb{Z}$.

The Killing form κ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\kappa(e, f) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ by the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory. We always choose A such that $\kappa(e, f)$ is invertible in A . This will ensure that the

form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is A -valued on $\mathfrak{g}_A$. We always choose A such that the determinant of the Gram matrix of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ relative to the Chevalley basis $\mathcal{B}$ and all bad primes of the root system Φ are invertible in A .

2.2. Let $M(\mu)$ be the Verma module of highest weight μ , and let $\tilde{v}_\mu$ be a highest weight vector of weight μ in $M(\mu)$. Given a subring A of $\mathbb{Q}$ we denote by $M_A(\mu)$ the A -span of all $e_{\gamma_1}^{a_1} \cdots e_{\gamma_N}^{a_N} \tilde{v}_\mu$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. These vectors form a free basis of the A -module $M_A(\mu)$, so that $M_A(\mu) = U(\mathfrak{n}_A^-)v_0 \cong U(\mathfrak{n}_A^-)$ as A -modules. Because A is admissible, $M_A(\mu)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_A$ -stable A -lattice in $M(\mu)$.

Given an $\mathfrak{h}$ -module V we denote by $\mathcal{X}(V)$ the set of all weights of V relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ and write V_ν for the weight space of V corresponding to $\nu \in \mathcal{X}(V)$. If E is an A -submodule of V , then we set $E_\nu := E \cap V_\nu$. By the PBW theorem,

$$M_A(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{X}(M(\mu))} M_A(\mu)_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad S(\mathfrak{n}_A^-) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{X}(S(\mathfrak{n}^-))} S(\mathfrak{n}_A^-)_\nu.$$

All weight components of $M_A(\mu)$ and $S(\mathfrak{n}_A^-)$ are free A -modules of finite rank. Denote by $M^{\max}(\mu)$ the unique maximal submodule of $M(\mu)$, so that $L(\mu) = M(\mu)/M^{\max}(\mu)$, and let v_μ denote the image of $\tilde{v}_\mu$ under the canonical homomorphism $M(\mu) \twoheadrightarrow L(\mu)$. Put $M_A^{\max}(\mu) := M^{\max}(\mu) \cap M_A(\mu)$ and define

$$L_A(\mu) := M_A(\mu)/M_A^{\max}(\mu).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we define $L_n(\mu) := U_n(\mathfrak{g})v_\mu = U_n(\mathfrak{n}^-)v_\mu$ and $L_{A,n}(\mu) := U_n(\mathfrak{g}_A)v_\mu = U_n(\mathfrak{n}_A^-)v_\mu$, and set

$$\text{gr } L(\mu) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L_n(\mu)/L_{n-1}(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gr } L_A(\mu) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L_{A,n}(\mu)/L_{A,n-1}(\mu)$$

(here $L_{-1}(\mu) = 0$ and $L_{A,-1}(\mu) = 0$ by convention). Note that $\text{gr } L(\mu)$ and $\text{gr } L_A(\mu)$ are generated by $v_\mu = \text{gr}_0 v_\mu$ as modules over $S(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}_A) = \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}_A)$, respectively. We now define

$$\mathcal{J} := \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} \text{gr } L(\mu) = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g})} v_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_A := \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g}_A)} \text{gr } L(\mu) = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g}_A)} v_\mu.$$

These are graded ideals of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}_A)$, respectively. We put $\mathcal{R} := S(\mathfrak{g})/\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{R}_A := S(\mathfrak{g}_A)/\mathcal{J}_A$. It is worth remarking that $L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -forms in $L(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{R}$, respectively.

The zero locus of the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^* = \text{Specm } S(\mathfrak{g})$ is called the *associated variety* of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module $L(\mu)$ and denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L(\mu)$. It follows from a theorem of Gabber that all irreducible components of the variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L(\mu)$ have dimension $d(e)$; see [15] for more detail. In particular, $\dim \mathcal{R} = d(e)$.

Suppose now that A is admissible. Since $M^{\max}(\mu)$ is the kernel of the Shapovalov form of $M(\mu)$, it is straightforward to see that $M_A^{\max}(\mu)$ is a direct sum of its weight components $M_A^{\max}(\mu)_\nu$ and each weight component $L_A(\mu)_\nu = M_A(\mu)_\nu/M_A^{\max}(\mu)_\nu$ of $L_A(\mu)$ is finitely generated and torsion free as an A -module. Since A is a principal ideal domain, it follows that all $L_A(\mu)_\nu$ are free A -modules of finite rank; see [4, Ch. VII, Sect. 4.10].

By construction, $\mathcal{R}_A \cong \text{gr } L_A(\mu)$ as graded A -modules. An element $x \in \mathcal{R}_A$ is said to be a *torsion element* if there is a nonzero $a \in A$ such that $ax = 0$. It is easy to see that the set $\mathcal{R}_A^{\text{tor}}$ of all torsion elements of $\mathcal{R}_A$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{R}_A$. Since A is admissible, $\mathcal{R}_A$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, hence a commutative Noetherian ring. Therefore, the ideal $\mathcal{R}_A^{\text{tor}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}_A$ -module. So there exists an

integer b such that $bx = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_A^{\text{tor}}$. It follows that $\mathcal{R}_A \otimes_A A[b^{-1}]$ is torsion free over $A[b^{-1}]$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{J}_{A[b^{-1}]} = \mathcal{J} \otimes_A A[b^{-1}]$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_{A[b^{-1}]} = S(\mathfrak{g}_{A[b^{-1}]})/\mathcal{J}_{A[b^{-1}]} \cong (S(\mathfrak{g}_A) \otimes_A A[b^{-1}])/(\mathcal{J}_A \otimes_A A[b^{-1}]) \cong \mathcal{R}_A \otimes_A A[b^{-1}]$$

by standard properties of localisation; see [4, Ch. II, 2.4]. Thus, the $A[b^{-1}]$ -module $\mathcal{R}_{A[b^{-1}]} \cong \text{gr } L_{A[b^{-1}]}(\mu)$ is torsion free.

From now on we always assume that $\mathcal{R}_A$ is a torsion free A -module. This assumption is justified by the discussion above.

2.3. Since A is a principal ideal domain and $\mathcal{R}_A \cong \text{gr } L_A(\mu)$ is torsion free over A , all graded components $\mathcal{R}_{A,n} \cong L_{A,n}(\mu)/L_{A,n-1}(\mu)$ of $\mathcal{R}_A$ are free A -modules of finite rank. Moreover, $\text{rk}_A \mathcal{R}_{A,n} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R}_n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_n(\mu)/L_{n-1}(\mu)$ for all n . It follows that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathcal{R}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{Q}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of $\mathcal{R}$. Since $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ as algebras over $\mathbb{C}$, it is immediate from [10, Corollary 13.7] that $\dim \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dim \mathcal{R} = d(e)$.

According to Noether Normalisation, there exist homogeneous, algebraically independent $y_1, \dots, y_d \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $d = d(e)$, such that $\mathcal{R}$ is a finitely generated module over its graded polynomial subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$; see [10, Theorem 13.3] (the elements $y_1, \dots, y_d$ remain algebraically independent in $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$). Let $v_1, \dots, v_D$ be a generating set of the $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$ -module $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $x_1, \dots, x_{m'}$ be a generating set of the A -algebra $\mathcal{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_i \cdot v_j &= \sum_{k=1}^D p_{i,j}^k(y_1, \dots, y_d) v_k & (1 \leq i, j \leq D) \\ x_i &= \sum_{j=1}^D q_{i,j}(y_1, \dots, y_d) v_j & (1 \leq i \leq m') \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomials $p_{i,j}^k, q_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}_A$ contains a basis of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The coordinate vectors of the x_i 's, y_i 's and v_i 's relative to this basis and the coefficients of the polynomials $q_{i,j}$ and $p_{i,j}^k$ involve only finitely many scalars in $\mathbb{Q}$. Enlarging our multiplicative set S if need be we may assume that all y_i and v_i are in $\mathcal{R}_A$ and all $p_{i,j}^k$ and $q_{i,j}$ are in $A[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. Thus, we may assume that

$$\mathcal{R}_A = A[y_1, \dots, y_d]v_1 + \dots + A[y_1, \dots, y_d]v_D$$

is a finitely generated module over the polynomial algebra $A[y_1, \dots, y_d]$.

2.4. We let the Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ act on $L(\mu)$ by semilinear automorphisms fixing all elements of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -form $L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu)$. Note that Γ acts on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as semilinear algebra automorphisms fixing all elements of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -form $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. These two Galois actions are compatible, that is $\sigma(u.v) = u^{\sigma}.\sigma(v)$ for all $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $v \in L(\mu)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $\mathcal{J}^{\sigma} = \mathcal{J}$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Since Γ preserves the canonical filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, each subspace $\mathcal{J} \cap U_n(\mathfrak{g})$ is Γ -invariant. It follows that the graded ideal

$$\text{gr } \mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{J} \cap U_n(\mathfrak{g})) / (\mathcal{J} \cap U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g})) \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$$

is Γ -stable. As a consequence, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace $S^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \text{gr } \mathcal{J}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -form of the graded component $\text{gr}_n \mathcal{J} \subset S^n(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is Noetherian, the ideal $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}$ is generated over $S(\mathfrak{g})$ by its $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q}, n'} := (\bigoplus_{k \leq n'} S^k(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}})) \cap \text{gr } \mathcal{J}$ for some $n' = n'(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Using standard filtered-graded techniques it is now easy to derive that $\mathcal{J}$ is generated over $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by its $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q}, n'} := U_{n'}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \mathcal{J}$.

Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, all subspaces $\mathcal{J} \cap U_n(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{gr}_n \mathcal{J}$ are invariant under the adjoint action of G on $U(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows that the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspaces $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q}, n'}$ and

$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q},n'}$ are stable under the adjoint action of the Kostant $\mathbb{Z}$ -form $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a split Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the adjoint $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q},n'}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q},n'}$ decompose into a direct sum of absolutely irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules with integral dominant highest weights. Consequently, these $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules possess $\mathbb{Z}$ -forms invariant under the adjoint action of $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$; we call them $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z},n'}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z},n'}$.

It is immediate from Weyl's theorem that the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q},n'}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Q},n'}$ are isomorphic. Let $\{\psi_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a homogeneous basis of the free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z},n'}$ and let $\{u_i \mid i \in I\}$ be any basis of the free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z},n'}$. Expressing the u_i and ψ_i via the PBW bases of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ associated with our Chevalley basis $\mathcal{B}$ brings up only finitely many scalars in $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, no generality will be lost by assuming that all these scalars are in A . In other words, *it can be assumed that all ψ_i are in $S(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ and all u_i are in $U(\mathfrak{g}_A)$.*

2.5. Let K be an algebraically closed field whose characteristic is a good prime for the root system Φ . Let $\mathfrak{g}_K = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ and let G_K be the simple, simply connected algebraic K -group with hyperalgebra $U_K := U_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K$. Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}_K)$ denote the nilpotent cones of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_K$, respectively. It is well-known that there is a natural bijection between the G -orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the G_K -orbits in $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}_K)$. More precisely, combining [22, III, 4.29] with [19, Theorems 2.6 and 2.7] it is not hard to observe that there are nilpotent elements $e_1, \dots, e_t \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

- (i) $\{e_1, \dots, e_t\}$ is a set of representatives for $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G$;
- (ii) $\{e_1 \otimes 1, \dots, e_t \otimes 1\}$ is a set of representatives for $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}_K)/G_K$;
- (iii) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Ad } G)e_i = \dim_K(\text{Ad } G_K)(e_i \otimes 1)$ for all $i \leq t$.

No generality will be lost by assuming that $e = e_k$ for some $k \leq t$ and $\mathcal{O}(e_i) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}(e)}$ for all $i \leq k$.

For $1 \leq i \leq t$ we set $\chi_i := (e_i, \cdot)$. Since $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{J})$ is the zero locus of $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}$ and the ideal $\text{gr } \mathcal{J}$ is generated by the set $\{\psi_i \mid i \in I\}$, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\chi)} = V(\text{gr } \mathcal{J}) = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(\psi_i)$. It follows that the ψ_i vanish on all χ_j with $j \leq k$. Since all ψ_i are in $S(\mathfrak{g}_A)$, all e_j are in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is A -valued, we also have that $\psi_i(\chi_j) \in A$. We now impose our next assumption on A : *all nonzero $\psi_i(\chi_j)$ are invertible in A .*

3. Highest weight modules and non-restricted representations

3.1. In this section we assume that our admissible ring $A = S^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ for the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module $L(\mu)$ satisfies all the requirements highlighted in (2.1)–(2.5). We fix $p \in \pi(A)$ and set $L_p(\mu) := L_A(\mu) \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$ where $\mathbb{k}$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\bar{v}_{\mu} := v_{\mu} \otimes 1$, the image of v_{μ} in $L_p(\mu)$. Clearly, $L_p(\mu)$ is a module over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathfrak{g}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. Given $x \in \mathfrak{g}_A$ we denote by $\bar{x}$ the image of x in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, that is $\bar{x} = x \otimes 1$. It is immediate from our assumptions on A made in (2.1) that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a simple Lie algebra with $\mathbb{k}$ -basis $\bar{\mathcal{B}} := \{\bar{e}_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Phi\} \cup \{\bar{h}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$. Since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is simple and restricted, it carries a unique p -mapping $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ which has the property that $\bar{e}_{\gamma}^{[p]} = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Phi$ and $\bar{h}_{\alpha}^{[p]} = \bar{h}_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$.

The A -valued bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_A$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{k}$ -bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ which, for ease of notation, shall be denoted by the same symbol. Our assumptions in (2.1) ensure that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is nondegenerate on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Let $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ denote the simple, simply connected algebraic $\mathbb{k}$ -group with hyperalgebra $U_{\mathbb{k}} := U_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$. By construction, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{k}})$;

so the group $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ as on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ as Lie algebra automorphisms. It is well-known (and straightforward to see) that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -invariant. We shall often identify the $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ modules $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ by using this nondegenerate bilinear form.

Now $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{k}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^+$, where $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\pm} := \mathfrak{n}^{\pm} \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{k}} := \mathfrak{h}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. Furthermore, $\bar{v}_{\mu}$ is a weight vector for the torus $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of the restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^+$ annihilates $\bar{v}_{\mu}$, and $L_p(\mu) = U(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^-) \cdot \bar{v}_{\mu}$. We denote by $\bar{\mu}$ the $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -weight of $\bar{v}_{\mu}$. Since $\mu(h_{\alpha}) \in A$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $A \otimes_A \mathbb{k} = \mathbb{F}_p$, we have that $\bar{\mu}(\bar{h}_{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$.

Let Z_p denote the p -centre of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$, the central subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ generated by all $x^p - x^{[p]}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The PBW theorem implies that Z_p is a polynomial algebra in $\bar{e}_{\gamma}^p$ with $\gamma \in \Phi$ and $\bar{h}_{\alpha}^p - \bar{h}_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \Pi$, and $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is a free Z_p -module of rank $p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$. Given a linear function ξ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ we denote by I_{ξ} the two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ generated by the central elements $x^p - x^{[p]} - \xi(x)p1$, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, and set $U_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) := U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})/I_{\xi}$. The associative algebra $U_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is called the *reduced enveloping algebra* of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ associated with ξ . The above remark shows that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} U_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) = p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$.

Every irreducible $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module is a module over $U_{\xi}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ for precisely one $\xi = \xi_V \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$. The linear function ξ_V is called the *p-character* of V ; see [17] for more detail.

3.2. For every maximal ideal J of Z_p there exists a unique linear function $\eta = \eta_J$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ such that J is generated by all $x^p - x^{[p]} - \eta(x)p1$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, so that $J = Z_p \cap I_{\eta}$. As the Frobenius map of $\mathbb{k}$ is bijective, this allows us to identify $\text{Specm } Z_p$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$.

We now define $I_p(\mu) := \{z \in Z_p \mid z \cdot \bar{v}_{\mu} = 0\}$, an ideal of the p -centre Z_p , and denote by $V_p(\mu)$ the zero locus of $I_p(\mu)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$. Our remarks in (3.1) show that $\bar{e}_{\gamma}^p \in I_p(\mu)$ for all $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ and $\bar{h}_{\alpha}^p - \bar{h}_{\alpha} \in I_p(\mu)$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$ (it is important here that $\bar{\mu}(\bar{h}_{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi$). It follows that

$$(1) \quad V_p(\mu) \subseteq \{\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^* \mid \eta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{k}}) = \eta(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^+) = 0\}.$$

Since the $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -module $L_p(\mu)$ is generated by $\bar{v}_{\mu}$, we have that $I_p(\mu) = \text{Ann}_{Z_p} L_p(\mu)$. Given $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ we set $L_p^{\eta}(\mu) := L_p(\mu)/I_{\eta} \cdot L_p(\mu)$. By construction, $L_p^{\eta}(\mu)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module with p -character η .

Lemma 3.1. *If $\eta \in V_p(\mu)$, then $L_p^{\eta}(\mu)$ is a nonzero $U_{\eta}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -module.*

Proof. Suppose $L_p^{\eta}(\mu) = 0$ for some $\eta \in V_p(\mu)$, and set $J_{\eta} := Z_p \cap I_{\eta}$. Then $L_p(\mu) = I_{\eta} \cdot L_p(\mu) = J_{\eta} \cdot L_p(\mu)$. It follows from our discussion in (3.1) that $L_p(\mu)$ is a finitely generated Z_p -module. By a version of Nakayama's lemma, this implies that there is $z \in J_{\eta}$ such that $1 - z \in \text{Ann}_{Z_p} L_p(\mu)$; see [10, Corollary 4.7] for example. On the other hand, $\text{Ann}_{Z_p} L_p(\mu) = I_p(\mu) \subseteq \sqrt{I_p(\mu)} \subseteq J_{\eta}$ because $\eta \in V_p(\mu)$. But then both z and $1 - z$ are in J_{η} , a contradiction. $\square$

Remark 3.1. It follows from (1) that every linear function $\xi \in V_p(\mu)$ is *nilpotent*. More precisely, $\xi = (x, \cdot)$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^+$.

3.3. Set $L_{p,n}(\mu) := U_n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})\bar{v}_{\mu}$ and $\text{gr } L_p(\mu) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L_{p,n}(\mu)/L_{p,n-1}(\mu)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note that $\text{gr } L_p(\mu)$ is a cyclic $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -module generated by $\bar{v}_{\mu} = \text{gr}_0 \bar{v}_{\mu}$. Also,

$$L_{p,n}(\mu) = U_n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})\bar{v}_{\mu} = (U_n(\mathfrak{g}_A)v_{\mu}) \otimes_A \mathbb{k} = L_{A,n}(\mu) \otimes_A \mathbb{k}.$$

We put $\mathcal{J}_p := \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})} \text{gr } L_p(\mu) = \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})} \bar{v}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{R}_p := S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})/\mathcal{J}_p$, and denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu)$ the zero locus of $\mathcal{J}_p$ in $\text{Specm } S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}^*$. Since $\bar{v}_\mu$ is a highest weight vector for $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{K}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$, all linear functions from $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu)$ vanish on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{K}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$.

By our assumptions in (2.2) and (2.3), all $L_{A,n}$ and all graded components $\mathcal{R}_{A,n} \cong L_{A,n}(\mu)/L_{A,n-1}(\mu)$ of $\mathcal{R}_A$ are free A -modules of finite rank. From this it is immediate that $\mathcal{R}_p \cong \mathcal{R}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{K}$ as graded $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras. Comparing the Hilbert polynomials of $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{R}_p$ we now deduce that $\dim \mathcal{R}_p = \dim \mathcal{R} = d(e)$; see [10, Corollary 13.7]. As a consequence,

$$(2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu) = \dim \mathcal{R}_p = d(e).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{R}_A = A[y_1, \dots, y_d]v_1 + \dots + A[y_1, \dots, y_d]v_D$, for some $v_1, \dots, v_D \in \mathcal{R}_A$, where $d = d(e)$ and $A[y_1, \dots, y_d]$ is a polynomial algebra over A contained in $\mathcal{R}_A$; see our discussion in (2.3). We stress that $D = D(\mu)$ depends on μ , but not on p .

Lemma 3.2. *For every $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}^*$ we have that $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_p^\eta(\mu) \leq Dp^{d(e)}$.*

Proof. Suppose that y_i has degree a_i , where $1 \leq i \leq d$, and v_k has degree l_k , where $1 \leq k \leq D$, and let $\Phi_A: S(\mathfrak{g}_A) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_A$ denote the canonical homomorphism. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ (resp. $1 \leq k \leq D$) choose $u_i \in U_{a_i}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ (resp. $w_k \in U_{l_k}(\mathfrak{g}_A)$) such that $\Phi_A(\text{gr}_{a_i} u_i) = y_i$ (resp. $\Phi_A(\text{gr}_{l_k} w_k) = v_k$). Let $\bar{u}_i$ (resp. $\bar{w}_k$) denote the image of u_i (resp. w_k) in $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}) = U(\mathfrak{g}_A) \otimes_A \mathbb{K}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the set

$$\{w_k u_1^{i_1} \cdots u_d^{i_d} \cdot v_\mu \mid l_k + \sum_{j=1}^d i_j a_j \leq n; 1 \leq k \leq D\}$$

spans the A -module $L_{A,n}(\mu)$. In view of our earlier remarks this implies that the set

$$\{\bar{w}_k \bar{u}_1^{i_1} \cdots \bar{u}_d^{i_d} \cdot \bar{v}_\mu \mid l_k + \sum_{j=1}^d i_j a_j \leq n; 1 \leq k \leq D\}$$

spans the $\mathbb{K}$ -space $L_{p,n}(\mu)$. Since $\text{gr}_{pa_i}(\bar{u}_i^p) = (\text{gr}_{a_i} \bar{u}_i)^p$ is a p -th power in $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})$, for every $i \leq d$ there exists a $z_i \in Z_p \cap U_{a_i}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})$ such that $\bar{u}_i^p - z_i \in U_{pa_i-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}})$.

We denote by $L'_p(\mu)$ the Z_p -submodule of $L_p(\mu)$ generated by all $\bar{w}_k \bar{u}_1^{i_1} \cdots \bar{u}_d^{i_d} \cdot \bar{v}_\mu$ with $0 \leq i_j \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq k \leq D$. Using the preceding remarks and induction on n we now obtain that $L_{p,n}(\mu) \subset L'_p(\mu)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. But then $L_p(\mu) = L'_p(\mu)$, implying that the image of $\{\bar{w}_k \bar{u}_1^{i_1} \cdots \bar{u}_d^{i_d} \cdot \bar{v}_\mu \mid 0 \leq i_j \leq p-1; 1 \leq k \leq D\}$ in $L_p^\eta(\mu) = L_p(\mu)/I_\eta \cdot L_p(\mu)$ spans $L_p^\eta(\mu)$ for every $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}^*$. Since $d = d(e)$, this yields $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_p^\eta(\mu) \leq Dp^{d(e)}$ completing the proof. $\square$

3.4. *From now on we shall always assume that $D!$ is invertible in A . Then $p > D$ for every $p \in \pi(A)$. We shall identify $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{K}}^*$ by using the $G_{\mathbb{K}}$ -equivariant map $x \mapsto (x, \cdot)$. Under this identification, we have that $V_p(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$; see Remark 3.1. The p -centre $Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-) = Z_p \cap U(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)$ of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[\bar{e}_{-\gamma_1}^p, \dots, \bar{e}_{-\gamma_N}^p]$, hence can be canonically identified with the subalgebra $S(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)^p$ of all p -th powers in $S(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)$. Thus, we can regard $I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)$ as an ideal of the graded polynomial algebra $S(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)^p = \mathbb{K}[\bar{e}_{-\gamma_1}^p, \dots, \bar{e}_{-\gamma_N}^p]$. It follows from our discussion in (3.2) and the above identifications that*

$$(3) \quad V(I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)) \cap \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^+ = V_p(\mu).$$

Let $\text{gr}(I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-))$ be the homogeneous ideal of $S(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)^p$ spanned by the highest components of all elements in $I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-)$. From (3) it follows that the zero locus of $\text{gr}(I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^-))$ in $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ coincides with $\mathbb{K}(V_p(\mu))$, the associated cone to

$V_p(\mu)$; see [16, II, 4.2]. Since $I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_k^-)$ is contained in $\text{Ann}_{Z_p} \bar{v}_\mu$, all elements of $\text{gr}(I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_k^-))$ annihilate $\text{gr}_0 \bar{v}_\mu \in \text{gr } L_p(\mu)$. Then $\text{gr}(I_p(\mu) \cap Z_p(\mathfrak{n}_k^-)) \subset \mathcal{J}_p \cap S(\mathfrak{n}_k^-)$, which yields

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu) = V(\mathcal{J}_p \cap S(\mathfrak{n}_k^-)) \cap \mathfrak{n}_k^+ \subseteq \mathbb{K}(V_p(\mu)).$$

3.5. Given $x \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ denote by $\mathcal{O}_k(x)$ the adjoint G_k -orbit containing x . For $1 \leq i \leq t$ set $\bar{e}_i = e_i \otimes 1$. By our conventions in (2.5) we have that $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_k$ and $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}) = 2d(e)$. By well-known results of Spaltenstein and Steinberg, all irreducible components of the quasiaffine variety $\mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_k^+$ have dimension equal to $(\dim \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_i))/2$; see [14, 10.6] for example.

Theorem 3.1. *Under the above assumptions on A the variety $V_p(\mu)$ contains an irreducible component of maximal dimension which coincides with the Zariski closure of an irreducible component of $\mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}) \cap \mathfrak{n}_k^+$.*

Proof. Given a nonempty locally closed subset Z of the affine space $\mathfrak{g}_k$ we denote by $\dim_k Z$ the maximal dimension of the irreducible components of Z . It is well-known that $\dim_k V_p(\mu) = \dim_k \mathbb{K}(V_p(\mu))$; see [16, II, 4.2]. In conjunction with (4) and (2) this gives $\dim_k V_p(\mu) \geq d(e)$.

(a) Let X be an arbitrary component of maximal dimension of $V_p(\mu)$. Since $\mathfrak{n}_k^+ = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_i) \cap \mathfrak{n}_k^+$, there exist $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and an irreducible component C of $\mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j) \cap \mathfrak{n}_k^+$ such that $C \cap X$ is Zariski dense in X . Then $X \subseteq \overline{C}$, implying

$$(5) \quad \dim_k \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j) = 2 \dim_k \overline{C} \geq 2 \dim_k X = 2 \dim_k V_p(\mu) \geq 2d(e) = \dim_k \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}).$$

Choose $x \in X \cap \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j)$ and set $\xi := (x, \cdot)$. Since $x \in V_p(\mu)$, the $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ -module $L_p^\xi(\mu)$ is nonzero; see Lemma 3.1. By the Kac–Weisfeiler conjecture proved in [17], we have

$$p^{(\dim_k \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j))/2} \mid \dim_k L_p^\xi(\mu),$$

whilst Lemma 3.2 says that $\dim_k L_p^\xi(\mu) \leq Dp^{d(e)}$ for some $D < p$. This enables us to deduce that $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j) \leq 2d(e)$. In conjunction with (5) this implies that $\overline{C} = X$ and $\dim_k X = \dim_k V_p(\mu) = d(e)$ (one should keep in mind that $\overline{C}$ is irreducible).

If $y \in \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j)$ and $\lambda \in k^\times$, then $\lambda y \in \mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j)$. From this it follows that the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{O}_k(\bar{e}_j) \cap \mathfrak{n}_k^+$ is a conical variety. But then so is $\overline{C}$, forcing $\mathbb{K}(X) = X$. Thus, all irreducible components of maximal dimension of $V_p(\mu)$ are conical.

(b) Let $X_1, \dots, X_c$ be the $d(e)$ -dimensional irreducible components of $V_p(\mu)$. Then $V_p(\mu) = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_c \cup Y$, where Y is a closed subset of $V_p(\mu)$ with $\dim_k Y < d(e)$. It follows from [16, II, 4.2] and part (a) of this proof that

$$\mathbb{K}(V_p(\mu)) = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_c \cup \mathbb{K}(Y), \quad \dim_k \mathbb{K}(Y) < d(e).$$

In view of (2) and (4) this shows that every irreducible component of maximal dimension of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu)$ is of the form X_i for some $i \leq c$.

(c) Now suppose that the component $X = \overline{C}$ from part (a) of this proof is also an irreducible component of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu)$. Such a component exists by our discussion in part (b). Recall from (2.4) the set $\{\psi_i \mid i \in I\} \subset \text{gr } \mathcal{J} \cap S(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ and put $\bar{\psi}_i := \psi_i \otimes 1 \in S(\mathfrak{g}_k)$. Since $\psi_i \in \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g}_A)} \text{gr } L_A(\mu)$, we have $\bar{\psi}_i \in \text{Ann}_{S(\mathfrak{g}_k)} (L_A \otimes_A k) = \mathcal{J}_p$. Hence

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}} L_p(\mu) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} V(\bar{\psi}_i).$$

Let Ψ_A denote the A -span of the ψ_i 's in $S(\mathfrak{g}_A)$, and $\Psi_{\mathbb{k}}$ the $\mathbb{k}$ -span of the $\bar{\psi}_i$'s in $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. By our choices in (2.4), the A -module Ψ_A is stable under the adjoint action of the hyperalgebra $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$. From this it follows that the subspace $\Psi_{\mathbb{k}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is invariant under the adjoint action of the algebraic group $G_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Recall that $\bar{C}$ is a component of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{e}_j) \cap \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^+$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{e}_j) = 2d(e) = 2d(e_k)$. By our assumptions in (2.5), $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}(e_j) = 2d(e)$, whilst (6) shows that the $\bar{\psi}_i$'s vanish on $\bar{C}$. Since $\Psi_{\mathbb{k}} = \text{span}\{\bar{\psi}_i \mid i \in I\}$ is $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ -stable, all $\bar{\psi}_i$ vanish on $(\text{Ad } G_{\mathbb{k}}) \cdot \bar{C}$. Therefore, $\bar{\psi}_i(\bar{e}_j) = 0$ for all $i \in I$. But then $\psi_i(e_j) = 0$ for all $i \in I$ forcing $\mathcal{O}(e_j) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}(e_k)}$; see (2.5). Comparing dimensions now yields $\mathcal{O}(e_j) = \mathcal{O}(e_k)$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{e}_j) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{e}_k)$. Thus, X contains a component of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{e}_k) \cap \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{k}}^+$, completing the proof. $\square$

3.6. Recall that the group $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ acts on $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ as algebra automorphisms (this action extends the adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$). It is well-known (and easily seen) that for every $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}^*$ and $g \in G_{\mathbb{k}}$ we have $g(I_{\eta}) = I_{g \cdot \eta}$, where $g \cdot \eta = (\text{Ad}^* g)\eta$. Thus, g induces an algebra isomorphism $U_{\eta}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{g \cdot \eta}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. We set $\bar{\chi} = (\bar{e}, \cdot)$.

Corollary 3.1. *Under the above assumptions on A , there exists a positive integer $D = D(\mu)$ such that:*

- (i) $D < p$ for all $p \in \pi(A)$;
- (ii) *for every $p \in \pi(A)$ the reduced enveloping algebra $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ has a simple module of dimension $kp^{d(e)}$, where $k \leq D$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $g \cdot \bar{\chi} \in V_p(\mu)$ for some $g \in G_{\mathbb{k}}$. Combining our earlier remark with Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we see that $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ has a nonzero module of dimension $\leq Dp^{d(e)}$, call it E . Since $D < p$, the Kac–Weisfeiler conjecture (confirmed in [17]) shows that each composition factor L of the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module E has dimension $kp^{d(e)}$ for some $k = k(L) \leq D$. $\square$

4. Non-restricted representations and finite W -algebras

4.1. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be as before, and choose $f, h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that (e, h, f) is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}(i) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = ix\}$, a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that $e \in \mathfrak{g}(2)$, $f \in \mathfrak{g}(-2)$, and $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(i)$. We define a skew-symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$ by setting $\langle x, y \rangle := (e, [x, y])$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}(-2)$. By the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory, this skew-symmetric form is nondegenerate; hence $\dim \mathfrak{g}(-1) = 2s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

There is a basis $B = \{z'_1, \dots, z'_s, z_1, \dots, z_s\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$ contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and such that

$$\langle z'_i, z_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \langle z'_i, z'_j \rangle = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq s).$$

Given an admissible subring A of $\mathbb{Q}$ we set $\mathfrak{g}_A(i) := \mathfrak{g}_A \cap \mathfrak{g}(i)$, a finitely generated, torsion-free A -module. We shall assume, in addition, that $\mathfrak{g}_A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_A(i)$, that each $\mathfrak{g}_A(i)$ is a freely generated over A by a basis of the vector space $\mathfrak{g}(i)$, and that B is a free basis of the A -module $\mathfrak{g}_A(-1)$. It is straightforward to see that admissible subalgebras A satisfying these conditions exist.

Let $\mathfrak{g}(-1)^0$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -span of $z'_1, \dots, z'_s$ and put $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{g}(-1)^0 \oplus \sum_{i \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}(i)$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of dimension $d(e)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and χ vanishes on the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$; see [18] for more detail. Put $\mathfrak{m}_A := \mathfrak{g}_A \cap \mathfrak{m}$. It follows from the above assumptions that $\mathfrak{m}_A$ is a free A -module and a direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}_A$. More precisely, $\mathfrak{m}_A = \mathfrak{g}_A(-1)^0 \oplus \sum_{i \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}_A(i)$ where $\mathfrak{g}_A(-1)^0 = \mathfrak{g}_A \cap \mathfrak{g}(-1) = Az'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Az'_s$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_e$ denote the centralizer of e in $\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{g}_e$ coincides with the stabiliser $\mathfrak{z}_\chi = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \chi([x, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0\}$. By the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory, the map $\text{ad } e: \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}(i) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{Q}(i+2)$ is surjective for all $i \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_e = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{z}_\chi(i)$ where $\mathfrak{z}_\chi(i) = \mathfrak{z}_\chi \cap \mathfrak{g}(i)$. Enlarging A if need be we shall assume that $\mathfrak{g}_A(i+2) = [e, \mathfrak{g}_A(i)]$ for all $i \geq 0$. Then there is a basis $x_1, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_m$ of the free A -module $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_A(i)$ such that $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_A(n_i)$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a free basis of the A -module $\mathfrak{g}_A \cap \mathfrak{z}_\chi$; see [18, 4.2 & 4.3] for more detail.

4.2. Let $\mathbb{C}_\chi = \mathbb{C}1_\chi$ be a 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{m}$ -module such that $x \cdot 1_\chi = \chi(x)1_\chi$ form all $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, and $A_\chi = A1_\chi$. Given $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \times \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ we denote by $x^{\mathbf{a}}z^{\mathbf{b}}$ the monomial $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_m^{a_m} z_1^{b_1} \dots z_s^{b_s}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Set $Q_\chi := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{m})} \mathbb{C}_\chi$ and $Q_{\chi, A} := U(\mathfrak{g}_A) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{m}_A)} A_\chi$. From our discussion in (4.1) it follows that $Q_{\chi, A}$ is an A -lattice in Q_χ with free basis consisting of all $x^{\mathbf{i}}z^{\mathbf{j}} \otimes 1_\chi$ with $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \times \mathbb{Z}_+^s$. Moreover, $Q_{\chi, A}$ is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{g}_A$. Given $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \times \mathbb{Z}_+^s$ we set

$$|(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})|_e := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(n_i + 2) + \sum_{i=1}^s b_i.$$

According to [18, Theorem 4.6], the algebra $H_\chi := (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}} Q_\chi)^{\text{op}}$ is generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by endomorphisms $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r$ such that

$$(7) \quad \Theta_k(1_\chi) = \left(x_k + \sum_{0 < |(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})|_e \leq n_k + 2} \lambda_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^k x^{\mathbf{i}} z^{\mathbf{j}} \right) \otimes 1_\chi, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r,$$

where $\lambda_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^k \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^k = 0$ if either $|(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})|_e = n_k + 2$ and $|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{j}| = 1$ or $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0}$, and $i_l = 0$ for $l > r$.

The monomials $\Theta_1^{i_1} \dots \Theta_r^{i_r}$ with $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ form a PBW basis of the vector space H_χ . The monomial $\Theta_1^{i_1} \dots \Theta_r^{i_r}$ is said to have *Kazhdan degree* $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i(n_i + 2)$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we let H_χ^k denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -span of all monomials $\Theta_1^{i_1} \dots \Theta_r^{i_r}$ of Kazhdan degree $\leq k$. Then $(H_\chi^k)_{k \geq 0}$ is an increasing filtration of the algebra H_χ , called the *Kazhdan filtration* of H_χ . The corresponding graded algebra $\text{gr } H_\chi$ is a polynomial algebra in $\text{gr } \Theta_1, \dots, \text{gr } \Theta_r$. It is immediate from [18, 4.5] that there exist polynomials $F_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_r]$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, such that

$$(8) \quad [\Theta_i, \Theta_j] = F_{ij}(\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r) \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq r).$$

Moreover, if $[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_{ij}^k x_k$ in $\mathfrak{z}_\chi$, then

$$F_{ij}(\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_{ij}^k \Theta_k + q_{ij}(\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r) \pmod{H_\chi^{n_i + n_j}}$$

where the initial form of $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ has total degree ≥ 2 whenever $q_{ij} \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *The algebra H_χ is generated by $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r$ subject to the relations (8).*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{I}$ be the two-sided ideal of the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_r \rangle$ generated by all $[X_i, X_j] - F_{ij}(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. Let $\hat{H}_\chi := \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_r \rangle / \mathbf{I}$, and let $\hat{\Theta}_i$ be the image of X_i in $\hat{H}_\chi$. There is a natural algebra epimorphism $\hat{H}_\chi \twoheadrightarrow H_\chi$ sending $\hat{\Theta}_i$ to Θ_i for all i ; we call it ψ . For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ let $\hat{H}_\chi^k$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -span of all products $\hat{\Theta}_{j_1} \dots \hat{\Theta}_{j_l}$ with $(n_{j_1} + 2) + \dots + (n_{j_l} + 2) \leq k$. Let $\hat{H}'_\chi$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -span

of all monomials $\hat{\Theta}_1^{i_1} \cdots \hat{\Theta}_r^{i_r}$ with $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$. Taking into account the relations (8) and arguing by upward induction on k and downward induction on l , the number of factors in $\hat{\Theta}_{j_1} \cdots \hat{\Theta}_{j_l} \in \hat{H}_\chi^k$, one observes that $\hat{H}_\chi = \hat{H}'_\chi$. Since the monomials $\Theta_1^{i_1} \cdots \Theta_r^{i_r}$ with $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ are linearly independent in H_χ , this implies that ψ is injective. But then $\hat{H}_\chi \cong H_\chi$, and our proof is complete. $\square$

Enlarging A if necessary we shall assume that all $\lambda_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^k$ in (7) and all coefficients of the polynomials F_{ij} in (8) are in A .

4.3. Let $H_{\chi, A}$ denote the A -span of all monomials $\Theta_1^{i_1} \cdots \Theta_r^{i_r}$ with $(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$. Our assumptions on A in (4.2) show that $H_{\chi, A}$ is an A -subalgebra of H_χ contained in $(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_A} Q_{\chi, A})^{\text{op}}$. We set $\mathfrak{m}_k := \mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$ and $Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} := U(\mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{m}_k)} \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}}$ where $\mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}} = A_\chi \otimes_A \mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k}1_{\bar{\chi}}$. It follows from our assumptions in (4.1) that $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_r$ form a basis of the stabiliser $\mathfrak{z}_{\bar{\chi}}$ of $\bar{\chi}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_k$ and that $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is a nilpotent subalgebra of dimension $d(e)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_k$. Also, $\mathbb{k}1_{\bar{\chi}}$ is a 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{m}_k$ -module with the property that $x(1_{\bar{\chi}}) = \bar{\chi}(x)1_{\bar{\chi}}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}_k$. Let $I_{\bar{\chi}}$ be the two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ generated by all $x^p - x^{[p]} - \bar{\chi}(x)^p 1$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, and set $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]} := Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} / I_{\bar{\chi}} Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}}$, a $\mathfrak{g}_k$ -module with p -character $\bar{\chi}$. Each $\mathfrak{g}_k$ -endomorphism $\Theta_i \otimes 1$ of $Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} = Q_{\chi, A} \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$ preserves the submodule $I_{\bar{\chi}} Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}}$, hence induces a $\mathfrak{g}_k$ -endomorphism of $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$; call it θ_i . We denote by $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ the associative $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra $(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]})^{\text{op}}$.

Note that the $\mathfrak{g}_A$ -module $Q_{\chi, A}$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g}_A)/U(\mathfrak{g}_A)\mathfrak{n}_{\chi, A}$, where $\mathfrak{n}_{\chi, A}$ is the A -span in $U(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ of all $x - \chi(x)$ with $x \in \mathfrak{m}_A$. It follows that $H_{\chi, A}$ identifies with an A -subalgebra of $(U(\mathfrak{g}_A)/U(\mathfrak{g}_A)\mathfrak{n}_{\chi, A})^{\text{ad } \mathfrak{m}_A}$. According to [18, 2.3] we have that $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]} \cong (U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k)/U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k)\mathfrak{n}_{\chi, \mathbb{k}})^{\text{ad } \mathfrak{m}_k}$ where $\mathfrak{n}_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} = \mathfrak{n}_{\chi, A} \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The following are true:*

- (i) $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]} \cong U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes_{U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{m}_k)} \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}}$ as $\mathfrak{g}_k$ -modules;
- (ii) $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ is a projective generator for $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ and $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \cong \text{Mat}_{p^{d(e)}}(H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]})$;
- (iii) the monomials $\theta_1^{i_1} \cdots \theta_r^{i_r}$ with $0 \leq i_k \leq p-1$ form a $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$.

Proof. Let $\bar{1}_{\bar{\chi}}$ denote the image of $1_{\bar{\chi}}$ in $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$. By the universality property of induced modules, there is a surjective homomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}: Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} = U(\mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{m}_k)} \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}} \twoheadrightarrow U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes_{U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{m}_k)} \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}}$. Since $I_{\bar{\chi}} Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\alpha}$, it gives rise to an epimorphism $\alpha: Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]} \twoheadrightarrow U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes_{U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{m}_k)} \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}}$. On the other hand, the $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ -module $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ is generated by its 1-dimensional $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{m}_k)$ -submodule $\mathbb{k}\bar{1}_{\bar{\chi}} \cong \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}}$. The universality property of induced $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$ -modules now yields that there is a surjection $\beta: U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes_{U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{m}_k)} \mathbb{k}_{\bar{\chi}} \twoheadrightarrow Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$. Comparing dimensions shows that α is an isomorphism, proving (i). As $\mathfrak{m}_k \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{\chi}} = 0$ by our assumptions in (4.1), $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is a $\bar{\chi}$ -admissible subalgebra of dimension $d(e)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_k$; see [18, 2.3 & 2.6]. Now (ii) and (iii) follow from [18, Theorems 2.3 & 3.4]. $\square$

4.4. As $\dim \mathfrak{g}(i) = \dim \mathfrak{g}(-i)$ for all i and $r = \dim \mathfrak{g}(0) + 2s$ by the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory, we have that $\dim \bigoplus_{i \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}(i) = m - r$ and $d(e) = m - r + s$. Set

$$X_i = \begin{cases} z_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ x_{r-s+i} & \text{if } s+1 \leq i \leq m-r+s, \end{cases}$$

and let $\bar{X}_i$ denote the image of X_i in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathfrak{g}_A \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. Since $H_{\chi, A} \subseteq (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}_A} Q_{\chi, A})^{\text{op}}$, we can regard $Q_{\chi, A}$ as a right $H_{\chi, A}$ -module, whilst from the definition of $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ it is clear that $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ is a right $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ -module. Proposition 4.1 shows that these two module structures are compatible, that is the latter can be obtained from the former by reducing $Q_{\chi, A}$ modulo p and then by passing from $Q_{\chi, \mathbb{k}}$ to its quotient $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$.

Given $k \geq 0$ we let $Q_{\chi, A}^k$ denote the A -submodule of $Q_{\chi, A}$ spanned by all $x^{\mathbf{i}} z^{\mathbf{j}} \otimes 1_{\chi}$ with $|(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})|_e \leq k$. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d(e)}$ we define $X^{\mathbf{a}} := X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_{d(e)}^{a_{d(e)}} \otimes 1_{\chi}$ and $\bar{X}^{\mathbf{a}} := \bar{X}_1^{a_1} \cdots \bar{X}_{d(e)}^{a_{d(e)}} \otimes \bar{1}_{\bar{\chi}}$, elements of $Q_{\chi, A}$ and $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.2. *The right modules $Q_{\chi, A}$ and $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ are free over $H_{\chi, A}$ and $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$, respectively. More precisely,*

- (i) *the set $\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes 1_{\chi} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d(e)}\}$ is a free basis of the $H_{\chi, A}$ -module $Q_{\chi, A}$;*
- (ii) *the set $\{\bar{X}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \bar{1}_{\bar{\chi}} \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1\}$ is a free basis of the $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ -module $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$.*

Proof. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ set $\Theta^{\mathbf{a}} := \Theta_1^{a_1} \cdots \Theta_r^{a_r}$. Using (7) and induction on the Kazhdan degree $k = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i(n_i + 2)$ of $\Theta^{\mathbf{a}}$ it is easy to observe that

$$\Theta^{\mathbf{a}}(1_{\chi}) \equiv x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} \otimes 1_{\chi} + \sum_{|(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})|_e = k, |\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{j}| > |\mathbf{a}|} \gamma_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} x^{\mathbf{i}} z^{\mathbf{j}} \otimes 1_{\chi} \pmod{Q_{\chi}^{k-1}}$$

for some $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in A$; cf. [18, p. 27]. Using this relation and arguing by double induction on $|(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})|_e$ and $|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{j}|$ (upward on $|(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})|_e$ and downward on $|\mathbf{i}| + |\mathbf{j}|$) one observes that every $x^{\mathbf{i}} z^{\mathbf{j}} \otimes 1_{\chi}$ belongs to the A -submodule of $Q_{\chi, A}$ spanned by the vectors $X^{\mathbf{a}} \Theta^{\mathbf{b}}(1_{\chi})$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d(e)}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$. Since the latter vectors are linearly independent in H_{χ} in view of [21, pp. 52, 53], statement (i) follows. Statement (ii) is proved similarly; see [18, 3.4] for more detail. $\square$

4.5. Let $\{u_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the finite generating set of the primitive ideal $\mathcal{J}$ discussed in (2.4). Recall that $u_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ for all $i \in I$ and the A -span of the u_i 's is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}_A$ on $U(\mathfrak{g}_A)$. For $i \in I$ we denote by $\bar{u}_i$ the image of u_i in $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}) = U(\mathfrak{g}_A) \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. By construction, the $\mathbb{k}$ -span of the $\bar{u}_i$'s is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ on $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. Let $\varphi_{\chi}: U(\mathfrak{g}_A) \twoheadrightarrow Q_{\chi, A} = U(\mathfrak{g}_A)/U(\mathfrak{g}_A)\mathfrak{n}_{\chi, A}$ denote the canonical homomorphism, and $\bar{\varphi}_{\chi}$ the induced epimorphism from $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ onto $Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$; see (4.3) for more detail. It follows from Lemma 4.2(i) that there exists a finite subset C of $\mathbb{Z}_+^{d(e)}$ such that

$$(9) \quad \varphi_{\chi}(u_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} X^{\mathbf{c}} h_{i, \mathbf{c}}(1_{\chi}) \quad (h_{i, \mathbf{c}} \in H_{\chi, A}, \quad i \in I).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.1(iii) that the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ is a homomorphic image of the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra $H_{\chi, \mathbb{k}} := H_{\chi, A} \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. Let $\bar{h}_{i, \mathbf{c}}$ denote the image of $h_{i, \mathbf{c}} \otimes 1$ in $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$. Then it follows from (9) that

$$(10) \quad \bar{\varphi}_{\chi}(\bar{u}_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} \bar{X}^{\mathbf{c}} \bar{h}_{i, \mathbf{c}}(\bar{1}_{\bar{\chi}}) \quad (\forall i \in I).$$

Let $c := \max_{\mathbf{c} \in C} |\mathbf{c}|$. We now impose our final assumption on A : *we assume that $c!$ is invertible in A* . This assumption ensures that the components of all tuples in C are smaller than any prime in $\pi(A)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Under the above assumption on A , for every $p \in \pi(A)$ there exists a positive integer $k = k(p) \leq D = D(\mu)$ such that the associative algebra $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ has an irreducible k -dimensional representation ρ with the property that $\rho(\bar{h}_{i,\mathbf{c}}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in C$ and all $i \in I$.*

Proof. Let $p \in \pi(A)$ and $\mathbb{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. By Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1, there exists $g \in G_{\mathbb{k}}$ such that any composition factor V of the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module $L_p^{g \cdot \bar{\chi}}(\mu)$ has dimension $kp^{d(e)}$ for some $k = k(V) \leq D$. Since $u_i \in \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}_A)} L_A(\mu)$ for all $i \in I$, the elements $\bar{u}_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ annihilate $L_p(\mu) = L_A(\mu) \otimes_A \mathbb{k}$. Consequently, all $\bar{u}_i$ annihilate $L_p^{g \cdot \bar{\chi}}(\mu) = L_p(\mu)/I_{g \cdot \bar{\chi}} L_p(\mu)$, and hence V . Let $V' = \{v' \mid v \in V\}$ be another copy of the vector space V . We give V' a $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module structure by setting $x.v' := ((\text{Ad } g)^{-1}x.v)'$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and all $v' \in V'$. Since all elements $((\text{Ad } g)x)^p - ((\text{Ad } g)x)^{[p]} - \chi(x)p1$ annihilate V , the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module V' has p -character $\bar{\chi}$. By construction, all elements $(\text{Ad } g)\bar{u}_i$ annihilate V' .

Recall that the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of $\{u_i \mid i \in I\}$ is invariant under the adjoint action of the hyperalgebra $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}})$; see (2.4). This implies that the $\mathbb{k}$ -span of the $\bar{u}_i$'s is invariant under the adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{k}}$ on $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$. In conjunction with our preceding remark this implies that $\bar{u}_i \in \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})} V'$ for all $i \in I$. Let

$$V'_0 = \{v' \in V' \mid x.v' = \bar{\chi}(x)v' \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{k}}\},$$

the subspace of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -Whittaker vectors in V' . Since $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]} \cong (U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})/U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})\mathfrak{n}_{\chi,\mathbb{k}})^{\text{ad } \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{k}}}$, the algebra $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ acts on V'_0 . Since $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a $\bar{\chi}$ -admissible subalgebra of dimension $d(e)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$, it follows from [18, Theorem 2.4] that V'_0 is an irreducible k -dimensional $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$ -module. We denote by ρ the corresponding representation of $H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}$.

Let $v'_1, \dots, v'_k$ be a basis of V'_0 and $V'' := Q_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]} \otimes_{H_{\bar{\chi}}^{[p]}} V'_0$, a $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module with p -character $\bar{\chi}$. It follows from Lemma 4.2(ii) that the vectors $\bar{X}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes v'_j$ with $0 \leq a_i \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ form a basis of V'' over $\mathbb{k}$. Since V' is irreducible, there is a $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}}$ -module epimorphism $\tau: V'' \twoheadrightarrow V'$ sending $v' \otimes 1$ to v' for all $v' \in V'_0$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} V' = kp^{d(e)}$, comparing dimensions yields that τ is an isomorphism. Let $\tilde{\rho}$ denote the representation of $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}} V''$. As the left ideal $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})\mathfrak{n}_{\chi,\mathbb{k}}$ of $U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{k}})$ annihilates the subspace $V'_0 \otimes 1$ of V'' , it follows from (10) that

$$0 = \tilde{\rho}(\bar{u}_i)(v' \otimes 1) = \tilde{\rho}(\bar{\varphi}_{\chi}(\bar{u}_i))(v' \otimes 1) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} \bar{X}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes \rho(\bar{h}_{i,\mathbf{c}})(v')$$

for all $v' \in V'_0$. As the nonzero vectors of the form $\bar{X}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes \rho(\bar{h}_{i,\mathbf{c}})(v')$ with v' fixed are linearly independent in V'' by our final assumption on A , we now derive that $\rho(\bar{h}_{i,\mathbf{c}}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in C$ and all $i \in I$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4.6. Since $H_{\chi,A}$ is a free A -module with basis $\{\Theta^{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r\}$ there exist polynomials $H_{i,\mathbf{c}} \in A[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ such that $h_{i,\mathbf{c}} = H_{i,\mathbf{c}}(\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r)$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in C$ and all $i \in I$. Let $\mathcal{I}_H$ denote the two-sided ideal of H_{χ} generated by the $h_{i,\mathbf{c}}$'s. In view of (8) and Lemma 4.1, the algebra $H_{\chi}/\mathcal{I}_H$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_r \rangle$ by its two-sided ideal generated by all elements

$[X_i, X_j] - F_{ij}(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and all elements $H_{\mathbf{c}, l}(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ with $\mathbf{c} \in C$ and $l \in I$. Given a natural number k we let $\mathcal{Y}_k$ denote the set of all r -tuples $(M_1, \dots, M_r) \in \text{Mat}_k(\mathbb{C})^r$ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [M_i, M_j] - F_{ij}(M_1, \dots, M_r) &= 0 & (1 \leq i < j \leq r) \\ H_{\mathbf{c}, l}(M_1, \dots, M_r) &= 0 & (\mathbf{c} \in C, l \in I) \end{aligned}$$

(for $F \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_r]$, all monomials of $F(M_1, \dots, M_r)$ are understood to be taken with respect to the matrix product in $\text{Mat}_k(\mathbb{C})$). The above discussion shows that $\mathcal{Y}_k$ is the variety of all matrix representations of degree k of the algebra $H_\chi/\mathcal{I}_H$.

We regard $\mathcal{Y}_k$ as a Zariski closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^{rk^2}(\mathbb{C})$. More precisely, denote by $\mathbf{J}_k$ the ideal of the polynomial algebra $P := \mathbb{C}[x_{ab}^{(c)} \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq k, 1 \leq c \leq r]$ generated by the matrix coefficients of all $[M_i, M_j] - F_{ij}(M_1, \dots, M_r)$ and $H_{\mathbf{c}, l}(M_1, \dots, M_r)$, where M_c is the generic matrix $(x_{ab}^{(c)})_{1 \leq a, b \leq k} \in \text{Mat}_k(P)$ and $1 \leq c \leq r$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_k$ is nothing but the zero locus of $\mathbf{J}_k$ in $\text{Specm } P = \mathbb{A}^{rk^2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Set $P_{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z}[x_{ab}^{(c)} \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq k, 1 \leq c \leq r]$. As all F_{ij} and $H_{\mathbf{c}, l}$ are in $A[X_1, \dots, X_r]$, the ideal $\mathbf{J}_k$ is generated by a finite set of polynomials in $P_A := P_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$, say $f_1, \dots, f_R$. Given $p \in \pi(A)$ and $g \in P_A$ we write ${}^p g$ for the image of $g \in P_A$ in $P_A \otimes_A \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_k(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ the zero locus of ${}^p f_1, \dots, {}^p f_R$ in $\mathbb{A}^{rk^2}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

Proposition 4.3. *The algebra $H_\chi/\mathcal{I}_H$ has an irreducible representation of dimension at most $D = D(\mu)$.*

Proof. In view of the above discussion it suffices to show that for some $k \leq D$ the variety $\mathcal{Y}_k$ has a point with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose this is not the case. Then $g'_1 f_1 + \dots + g'_R f_R = 1$ for some $g'_1, \dots, g'_R \in P_A \otimes_A \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing all coefficients of $g'_1, \dots, g'_R$, and let Λ be the ring of algebraic integers of K . Rescaling $g'_1, \dots, g'_R$ we find $g_1, \dots, g_R \in P_\Lambda := P_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ and a nonzero $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g_1 f_1 + \dots + g_R f_R = \tilde{n}$.

It is well-known that Λ is a Dedekind ring and the map $\text{Spec } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ induced by inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ is surjective. For each $p \in \pi(A)$ we choose $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } \Lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ and denote by ϕ the composite

$$P_\Lambda \twoheadrightarrow P_\Lambda/\mathfrak{P}P_\Lambda \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[x_{ab}^{(c)} \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq k, 1 \leq c \leq r] = P_A \otimes_A \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p.$$

Since $\phi(f_i) = {}^p f_i$ for all i by our choice of $\mathfrak{P}$, we have that

$$\phi(g_1) \cdot {}^p f_1 + \dots + \phi(g_R) \cdot {}^p f_R = \phi(\tilde{n}).$$

Since $\phi(\tilde{n})$ is nothing but the image of $\tilde{n}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, this implies that $\mathcal{Y}_k(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) = \emptyset$ for all $k \leq D$ and almost all $p \in \pi(A)$. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 4.2 and the preceding discussion in (4.5) that there is a positive integer $l \leq D$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_l(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many $p \in \pi(A)$. Since $\tilde{n}$ has only finitely many prime divisors, we reach a contradiction thereby completing the proof. $\square$

4.7. We are now ready to prove the main results of this note.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with rational infinitesimal character and with $\mathcal{VA}(\mathcal{I}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$. Then $\mathcal{I} = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$ for some finite dimensional irreducible H_χ -module V .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, the algebra $H_\chi/\mathcal{I}_H$ has a finite-dimensional irreducible module, say V . We write ρ for the corresponding representation of H_χ in $\text{End } V$ and set $\tilde{V} := Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V$. Denote by $\tilde{\rho}$ the representation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ in $\text{End } \tilde{V}$ and set $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} := \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_\chi \otimes_{H_\chi} V)$. Skryabin's theorem [21] implies that $\tilde{V}$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, whilst [20, Theorem 3.1(ii)] says that $\mathcal{VA}(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$. In view of (9),

$$\tilde{\rho}(u_i)(1 \otimes v) = \tilde{\rho}(\varphi_\chi(u_i))(1 \otimes v) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} X^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes \rho(h_{i,\mathbf{c}})(v)$$

for all $i \in I$ and all $v \in V$. Since $\mathcal{I}_H \subseteq \ker \rho$, all operators $\tilde{\rho}(u_i)$ annihilate the subspace $1 \otimes V$ of $\tilde{V}$. Since $\tilde{V}$ is generated by $1 \otimes V$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module and the $\mathbb{C}$ -span of the u_i 's is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$, it must be that $u_i \in \ker \tilde{\rho}$ for all $i \in I$. Since the u_i 's generate the ideal $\mathcal{I}$, we obtain $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$. Since the primitive ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ share the same associated variety, [3, Corollar 3.6] implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}$, finishing the proof. $\square$

By the main result of [7] the algebra H_χ is isomorphic to the finite W -algebra $W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$. Recall that $W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a BRST quantisation of the Poisson algebra $\text{gr } H_\chi$, and it is also isomorphic to the Zhu algebra of the vertex (affine) W -algebra associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ and e ; see [8, 7, 11, 18] for more detail. Vertex W -algebras and their Zhu algebras arise in quantum field theory and are studied extensively in mathematical physics.

Corollary 4.1. *All finite W -algebras $W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ possess finite dimensional representations.*

Proof. (1) Let $\mathfrak{X}_\lambda$ denote the set of all primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with infinitesimal character $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. In order to prove the corollary we need to find a *rational* infinitesimal character $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and a primitive ideal $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu$ such that $\mathcal{VA}(\mathcal{I}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$. Since $W^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{g}, e) \cong H_\chi$ as algebras, our statement then will follow from Theorem 4.1. Let $W = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+ \rangle$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we set $\Phi_\lambda^+ := \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid \lambda(h_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and denote by W_λ the subgroup of W consisting of all $w \in W$ such that $w\lambda - \lambda$ is a sum of roots. The subgroup W_λ is often referred to as the *integral Weyl group* of λ ; it is generated by all reflections s_α with $\alpha \in \Phi_\lambda^+$; see [13, 2.5] for example. Recall that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is called *regular* if $\lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.

We shall rely on well-known results of Barbasch–Vogan [1, 2]. It follows from [2, Thm 4.5 and Cor. 4.4] that there exists $\mu' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\mu' + \rho$ regular and $\mathcal{VA}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} L(\mu')) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$; see *loc. cit.* and [14, 9.12]. In order to finish the proof we need to find a *rational* $\mu'' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\mu'' + \rho$ is regular and $W_{\mu''} = W_{\mu'}$. Then $\mathcal{VA}(\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} L(w(\mu'' + \rho) - \rho)) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\chi$ for some $w \in W$, because the collections of associated varieties attached to $\mathfrak{X}_{\mu'}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\mu''}$ coincide setwise; see [BV2, Thm 1.1]. It is probably known that such a μ'' exists, but we could not find a reference and shall include a brief proof for completeness:

(2) Let $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of Π in $\mathfrak{h}^*$. This is a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ with basis $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_\ell$, where $\varpi_i(h_{\alpha_j}) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$. Since there exist $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}^*$ such that $\mu(h_\alpha) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we may assume that $W_{\mu'} \neq \{1\}$. Then $\Phi_{\mu'}^+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M\}$ is a nonempty set. For $1 \leq i \leq M$ set $r_i := \mu'(h_{\beta_i})$. All r_i 's are integers and the

non-homogeneous system of linear equations

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 \varpi_1(h_{\beta_1}) + \cdots + x_\ell \varpi_\ell(h_{\beta_1}) & = & r_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1 \varpi_1(h_{\beta_M}) + \cdots + x_\ell \varpi_\ell(h_{\beta_M}) & = & r_M \end{array}$$

is consistent. Since all coefficients of the system are rationals, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ contains a basis of the solution space to the corresponding homogeneous system, say $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q$. As $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i , we can also find $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ such that any solution to (11) has the form $\mu_0 + y_1 \mu_1 + \cdots + y_q \mu_q$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_q \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the spans of $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. If $E_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$, then $\mu' = \mu_0 \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ and we are done. So assume $E_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$. Write $\Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_{\mu'}^+ = \{\beta_{N-M+1}, \dots, \beta_N\}$. For $N - M < i \leq N$ define $v_i \in (E_{\mathbb{R}})^*$ by setting $v_i(\nu) = \nu(h_{\beta_i})$ for all $\nu \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$. For $N - M < i \leq N$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ set $H_i(a) := \{\nu \in E_{\mathbb{R}} \mid v_i(\nu) = a\}$, and define

$$\Omega := \bigcup_{i=N-M+1}^N \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_i(-\mu_0(h_{\beta_i}) + n).$$

If $v_i = 0$ for some $i > N - M$, then $(\mu_0 + \nu)(h_{\beta_i}) = \mu'(h_{\beta_i}) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\nu \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$, implying that $H_i(-\mu_0(h_{\beta_i}) + n) = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence each nonempty $H_i(-\mu_0(h_{\beta_i}) + n)$ is an affine hyperplane in the Euclidean space $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. From this it follows that the set $E_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \Omega$ is nonempty and open in the Euclidean topology of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. As the subset $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ is dense in the Euclidean topology of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$, there exists $\nu_0 \in E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $v_i(\nu_0) \notin -\mu_0(h_{\beta_i}) + \mathbb{Z}$ for $N - M < i \leq N$.

Now put $\mu'' := \mu_0 + \nu_0$. Then $\mu'' \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, by our earlier remarks in the proof, and $\mu''(h_{\beta_i}) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i > N - M$. Because μ'' is a solution to (11), we also have that $\mu''(h_{\beta_i}) = \mu'(h_{\beta_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq N - M$. This yields $\Phi_{\mu'}^+ = \Phi_{\mu''}^+$, forcing $W_{\mu'} = W_{\mu''}$. Since $\mu' + \rho$ is regular, so is $\mu'' + \rho$. This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Barbasch and D. Vogan, *Primitive ideals and orbital integrals in complex classical groups*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982) 153–199.
- [2] D. Barbasch and D. Vogan, *Primitive ideals and orbital integrals in complex exceptional groups*, J. Algebra **80** (1983) 350–382.
- [3] W. Borho and H. Kraft, *Über die Gelfand–Kirillov-Dimension*, Math. Ann. **220** (1976) 1–24.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Hermann, Paris, 1961 (Ch. I/II), 1962 (Ch. III/IV), 1964 (Ch. V/VI), 1965 (Ch. VII).
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Groups et Algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres IV, V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [6] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Shifted Yangians and finite W-algebras*, Adv. Math. **200** (2006) 136–195.
- [7] A. D’Andrea, C. De Concini, A. De Sole, R. Heluany and V. Kac, *Three equivalent definitions of finite W-algebras*, appendix to [8].
- [8] A. De Sole and V.G. Kac, *Finite ws affine W-algebras*, Japan. J. Math. **1** (2006) 137–261; [arXiv:math-ph/0511055v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0511055v3).
- [9] M. Duflo, *Sur la classification des idéaux primitifs dans l’algèbre enveloppante d’une algèbre de Lie semi-simple*, Ann. of Math. **105** (1977) 107–130.
- [10] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 150, Springer, New York, Berlin, etc., 1994.
- [11] W.L. Gan and V. Ginzburg, *Quantization of Slodowy slices*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **5** (2002) 243–255.
- [12] V. Ginzburg, *On primitive ideals*, Selecta Math., New Series **9** (2003) 379–407.

- [13] J.C. Jantzen, *Einhüllende Algebren halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren*, Ergebnisse der Math., Vol. 3, Springer, New York, Tokyo, etc., 1983.
- [14] J.C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory*, In “Representation and Lie theory”, B. Orstead (ed.), Progress in Math., Vol. 228, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004, pp. 1–211.
- [15] A. Joseph, *On the variety of a highest weight module*, J. Algebra **88** (1984) 238–278.
- [16] H. Kraft, *Geometrische Methoden in der Invariantentheorie*, Aspekte Math., Vol. D1, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1984.
- [17] A. Premet, *Irreducible representations of Lie algebras of reductive groups and the Kac–Weisfeiler conjecture*, Invent. Math. **121** (1995) 79–117.
- [18] A. Premet, *Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras*, Adv. Math. **170** (2002) 1–55.
- [19] A. Premet, *Nilpotent orbits in good characteristic and the Kempf–Rousseau theory*, J. Algebra **260** (2003) 338–366.
- [20] A. Premet, *Enveloping algebras of Slodowy slices and the Joseph ideal*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9** (2007) in press; [arXiv:math.RT/0504343](#).
- [21] S. Skryabin, *A category equivalence*, appendix to [18].
- [22] T.A. Springer and R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes*, In “Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Topics” (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton 1968/69), A. Borel *et al.* (eds), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, etc., 1970, pp. 167–266.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, OXFORD ROAD, M13 9PL, UK
E-mail address: sashap@maths.man.ac.uk